

001002
FREEMON KILBURN

VS

NO. 3725 LAWRENCE CIRCUIT


BUFORD BRYANT

ORDER

Came the parties and a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: B. L. Irwin, A. G. Benefield, Frank Benson, Jim Blair, T. L. Dunkin, L. C. McDonald, M. B. Rose, John Weaver, C. A. Prosser, G. T. Johnson, Charlie Davis, and Dewitt Oaks, who being elected, tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the matters in controversy, upon their oath, do say:

That they find that the plaintiff, Freeman Kilburn, have and recover the sum of \$84.04 from the defendant, Buford Bryant, together with interest from the date of filing of the suit in the General Sessions Court, and the costs of the cause, for which execution will issue.

This the ____ day of February 1956.


JUDGE

MRS. VENCIE DUNKIN

VS

NO. 3837 LAWRENCE CIRCUIT

JOHN HALL

ORDER

This cause came on to be heard, this the 9th day of February, 1956, before the Honorable Joe M. Ingram, Circuit Judge and a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit: B. L. Irwin, A. G. Benefield, Frank Benson, Jim Blair, T. L. Dunkin, L. C. McDonald, M. B. Rose, John Weaver, C. A. Prosser, G. T. Johnson, Charlie Davis, and Dewitt Oaks, who being duly elected, empaneled and sworn according to law to try the issues joined and a true verdict render according to the law and evidence, argument of counsel, and being charged by the Court retired for consideration, and who after deliberation returned into Court and did say upon their oaths that they could not reach an agreement as to a verdict and said jury not being able to agree as to a verdict in this cause, it is therefore, ordered by the Court that the said trial be declared a mistrial.


The defendant is hereby granted thirty days within which to file a motion for a new trial.

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

MacFARLAND & COLLEY

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT


JUDGE OF CIRCUIT COURT

CHARLES HUNTER

VS

NO. LAWRENCE CIRCUIT

SHIRLEY HUNTER

FINAL DECREE

This cause came on regularly to be heard this February 15, 1956, before the Hon. Joe M. Ingram, Judge, upon the bill of complaint of Charles Hunter, the answer of Shirley

001035

Herschel Barnett

Herschel Barnett
VS
LONDON GODFREY and W. M.
BUDDY MASSBY

LAWRENCE CIRCUIT
NO.

ORDER

Come Herschel Barnett, by and through his attorneys, Locke & Holtzford, and moves the Court to dismiss this cause, stating in open Court that all matters of litigation has been satisfactorily settled out of Court. The Court is, therefore, pleased to grant said motion, and the cause is hereby dismissed, and for nothing further held.

This the ___ day of June, 1956.

Joe M. Ingram
JUDGE

FLOYD PRINCE
VS
TASSO GOBBLE AND ANDREW CONWAY

NO. 3790
LAWRENCE CIRCUIT

ORDER

The motion of the defendants came on regularly to be heard this, the 11th day of June, 1956, before the Hon. Joe M. Ingram, upon the entire record and the evidence of the County Surveyor, Raymond Chapman, and the argument of counsel, from all of which the Court is of the opinion that the motion is not well taken.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion for a new trial is hereby overruled and the defendants are allowed thirty (30) days in which to perfect their appeal to the Court of Appeals.

This June 11, 1956.

ENTER-

Joe M. Ingram
JUDGE

OK FOR ENTRY:
FREEMON & FREEMON, Solers for Ptf.

by Noble L. Freemon

LINDSEY & LINDSEY, Solers. for Defdnts.
by _____

MRS. VENCIE DUNKIN
VERSUS
JOHN HALL, ET AL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR
LAWRENCE COUNTY
NO. _____

JUDGMENT

This cause came on to be further heard this 6th day of June, 1956, by the parties their attorneys and a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit:

(Clerk, please copy names of jurors)

Rex Rhodes, Arthur Watson, Edgar Holt, A. A. Green, J. W. Weeks, G. V. Harwell, R. L. Fulks, J. G. Myers, Arnold Weathers, W. C. Ward, A. B. Crabb, & W. E. Canerday,

And after being duly empaneled and sworn, and hearing the proof, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, said jury retired to consider of its verdict, and returned into open Court and upon their oaths did say that they found the is ues joined in favor of the plaintiff, Mrs. Vencie Dunkin, and against the defendant John Hall and did fix and assess plaintiff's damages at the sum of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty

001036

April Term Court

(\$3,750.00) Dollars and did further say that they found the issues in favor of the defendant James Brewer and against the plaintiff, Mrs. Vencie Dunkin.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff Mrs. Vencie Dunkin, have and recover of the defendant John Hall the sum of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty (\$3,750.00) Dollars and allow the costs of the cause for which let execution issue, and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that judgment be entered in favor of the defendant James Brewer and against the plaintiff, Mrs. Vencie Dunkin, in so far as the said Brewer is concerned.

The defendant John Hall is granted thirty days within which to file motion for a new trial.

Motion for a new trial, now pending.

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

Joe M. Lyman
JUDGE

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant,

CHARLES HILKERT

VS

TILLET BROS, ET AL

CIRCUIT COURT
OF
LAWRENCE COUNTY, TENN.
NO. 3799

This cause came on the 28th day of May, 1956, to be heard upon the summons and declaration, previous orders, the plea of defendants, testimony of witnesses introduced in open court, argument of counsel and charge of the Court, before a jury of good and lawful men, to-wit:

(Here insert names of 12 jurors who served in this case) who, being first duly sworn to act impartially and the truth to say, did retire to the jury-room and did subsequently return into open Court their verdict in the following words and figures, to-wit:

"We find the issues in favor of the plaintiff, Charles Hilkert, and against the defendant, Tillet Bros, and fix the plaintiffs recovery at \$2,500.00. We find the defendant Paul Murphy not guilty and dismiss the suit as to him."

WHEREUPON, the Court renders judgment upon the verdict of the jury, and accordingly orders, adjudges and decrees that plaintiff, Charles Hilkert, have and recover of the defendants, Tillet Bros. the sum of \$2,500.00, and all the costs of this cause for which judgment and costs if necessary execution may issue.

Joe M. Lyman
CIRCUIT JUDGE